

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/070,349	08/16/2002	,	Christopher John Farrell	P1096/20003	9999
3000	7590 10/15/2	2004	EXAMINER		
•	RIVISE, BERNST	WILSON, JOHN J			
	OKOTILOW, LTD. R. SEVEN PENN C	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	PHIA, PA 19103-2	3732			

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				: \ . \				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- cps				
Office Action Summary		10/070,349	FARRELL, CHRIS	STOPHER JOHN				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		John J. Wilson	3732					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport Reply	opears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ac	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faile Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of th	.136(a). In no event, however, m ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) tte, cause the application to becore	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	oly. communication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.	August 2004.						
•	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)[
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 17-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 17-23</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement		•				
Applicat	ion Papers							
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examir							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the b	Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action of form P	10-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bure. See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received nts have been received iority documents have b au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No been received in this Nationa	l Stage				
		•						
Attachmei		_						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date		e of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/070,349 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937; 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3 and 17-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,637,436. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because that the claimed structure is capable of molding to suit the individual teeth of a user, heating to a plastic and formable temperature, and to mold to at least one of the upper and lower teeth and the specific combination of materials used are obvious matters of choice to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3 and 17-23 stand rejected under double patenting only.

Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer does not comply with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c) because:

An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 18, 2004 have been fully considered and are fully responded to above *Conclusion*

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Wilson at telephone number (703) 308-2699.

John J. Wilson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3732

Page 4

jjw

October 12, 2004 Fax (703) 872-9306

Work Schedule: Monday through Friday, Flex Time